

JUDGMENT  
=====UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
-----NO. 00-55905 /  
CT/AG#: CV-96-02381-HLHLODGED  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

MAY 28 2002

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
BY *Wey* DEPUTY

MILAN CHEYOVICH; DIANA CHEYOVICH, individually and as Court  
Appointed Guardian for Daniel Milan Lucien Cheyovich Joye  
and Nicolas Marc David Cheyovich Joye, minors

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

SAN MARINO SCHOOL DISTRICT

Defendant

and

JOHN BEUGELMAN, aka Jacques Beuglemans

Defendant - Appellee

FILED

FILED  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

MAY 29 2002

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
BY *ASC* DE-CLERKNO. 00-56937  
CT/AG#: CV-96-02381-HLH

MILAN CHEYOVICH; DIANA CHEYOVICH, individually & as Court  
Appointed Guardian for Daniel Milan Lucien Cheyovich Joye &  
Nicholas Marc David Cheyovich Joye, minors

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

SAN MARINO SCHOOL DISTRICT; JACKY BERNARD JOYE; JUDITH D.  
ANDERSON, individually dba Law Firm of Walsh & Declues dba  
Law Firm of Liebman, Reiner & Walsh; MICHAEL J. DECLUES,  
individually dba Law Firm of Walsh & Declues dba Law Firm of  
Liebman, Reiner & Walsh; JAMES A. SHALVOY, individually dba  
Law Firm of Bigelow, Moore & Tyre; FRANKLIN T. BIGELOW, JR.,  
individually dba Law Firm of Bigelow, Moore & Tyre; CHARLES  
JOHNSON, individually & as agent for Focus on the Family;  
MARY A. MEYE; PERTA SANTLEY

ENTERED ON ICMS  
JUN 13 2002  
*CV*

226

Defendants - Appellees


-----  
APPEAL FROM the United States District Court for the  
Central District of California, Los Angeles .

THIS CAUSE came on to be heard on the Transcript of the  
Record from the United States District Court for the  
Central District of California, Los Angeles  
and was duly submitted.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, It is now here ordered and  
adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said  
District Court in this cause be, and hereby is AFFIRMED.

Filed and entered

December 27, 2001



FILED

DEC 27 2001

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MILAN CHEYOVICH; DIANA  
CHEYOVICH, individually and as Court  
Appointed Guardian for Daniel Milan  
Lucien Cheyovich Joye and Nicolas Marc  
David Cheyovich Joye, minors,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

SAN MARINO SCHOOL DISTRICT;  
JOHN BEUGELMAN, a.k.a. Jacques  
Beuglemans, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Nos. 00-55905

00-56937

D.C. No. CV-96-02381-HLH

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Harry L. Hupp, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 17, 2001\*\*

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as may be provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument, and denies appellants' request for oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).


Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, TROTT and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Milan Cheyovich and Diana Cheyovich appeal pro se the district court's orders dismissing for failure to state a claim their action alleging that defendants engaged in a conspiracy with their grandchildren's biological father to kidnap the grandchildren. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Monterey Plaza Hotel, Ltd. v. Local 483*, 215 F.3d 923, 926 (9th Cir. 2000), and we affirm on the ground that the district court lacked subject matter jurisdiction, *Steel Co. v. Citizen for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 94-95 (1998).

Despite appellants' attempts to raise claims separate from the prior state court custody action, the two are inextricably intertwined. Because federal court's do not have jurisdiction to review state court decisions, we affirm the district court's dismissal of appellants' action. See *Worldwide Church of God v. McNair*, 805 F.2d 888, 890-93 (9th Cir. 1986) (citing *Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.*, 263 U.S. 413 (1923) and *D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462 (1983)).

We have considered appellants' remaining contentions and reject them for lack of merit. We grant appellants' motion to file a late reply brief and order that the reply brief received on April 11, 2001 be filed.

**AFFIRMED.**



INTERNAL USE ONLY: Proceedings include all events.  
00-55905 Cheyovich, et al v. Beugelman, et al

MILAN CHEYOVICH  
Plaintiff - Appellant

Milan Cheyovich  
626/799-3146  
[COR LD NTC prs]  
1858 South Euclid Avenue  
San Marino, CA 91108

DIANA CHEYOVICH, individually  
and as Court Appointed  
Guardian for Daniel Milan  
Lucien Cheyovich Joye and  
Nicolas Marc David Cheyovich  
Joye, minors  
Plaintiff - Appellant

Diana Cheyovich  
626/799-3146  
[COR LD NTC prs]  
1858 South Euclid Avenue  
San Marino, CA 91108

v.

SAN MARINO SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Defendant

JOHN BEUGELMAN, aka Jacques  
Beuglemans  
Defendant - Appellee

John Beugelman  
FAX 310/552-0713  
310/203-8870  
20th Floor  
[COR LD NTC prs]  
1901 Avenue of the Stars  
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Richard D. Comess, Esq.  
310/745-2260  
[COR LD NTC ret]  
Law Office of Richard D. Comess  
944 Princeton Drive  
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292